### FY 2022 ANNUAL TAX INCREMENT FINANCE REPORT

.



# STATE OF ILLINOIS COMPTROLLER SUSANA A. MENDOZA

Name of Municipality:		City of Springfield	Reporting F	iscal Year:	2022
County: Unit Code:		Sangamon	Fiscal Year End:		2/28/2022
		083/120/30			
<b></b>		FY 2022 TIF Administrator Conta	ot Informati	on Doguizod	
First Name:	Ravi	FT 2022 TIF Administrator Conta	Last Name:		
Address:		Nonroe, Room 107	Title:	TIF Administrator	
Telephone:			City:	Springfield	Zip: 62701
E-mail		@springfield.il.us			
I attest to th	e best of m	y knowledge, that this FY 2022 report of the redeve	lopment proje	ct area(s)	
in the City/	/illage of:		Spring	field	
		te pursuant to Tax Increment Allocation Redevelop			and or Industrial Johs
Recovery La	aw [65 ILCS	5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.].	non no les		
	11	$\overline{}$			
,		) och-			
1 4	15-1	Josh			
Written sig	nature of T	IF Administrator	Date		
*					······································
	Ş	Section 1 (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1.5) ar	d 65 ILCS 5	5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1.5)	*)
		FILL OUT ONE FOR EAC			
	Name	of Redevelopment Project Area		te Designated /M/DD/YYYY	Date Terminated MM/DD/YYYY
Central Area		n)		11/29/1981	12/27/2028
Far East Sid				2/23/1995	2/22/2030
Enos Park N	leighborhoc	d		12/16/1997	12/15/2020
SHA (Madis	on Park Pla	ce)		12/16/1999	12/15/2022
Northeast				12/2/2003	12/1/2026
Jefferson Cr	ossing			9/7/2007	9/7/2030
MacArthur B	oulevard Co	orridor		2/21/2012	2/21/2035
Dirksen Parl	way Comm	nercial		12/18/2012	12/18/2035
Peoria Road				11/7/2017	11/7/2039
Lumber Lan	3			10/30/2018	10/30/2041
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			1		

\*All statutory citations refer to one of two sections of the Illinois Municipal Code: The Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 et. seq.] or the Industrial Jobs Recovery Law [65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-10 et. seq.]

SECTION 2 [Sections 2 through 8 must be completed for each redevelopment project area listed in Section 1.]

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

### Enos Park Neighborhood

Primary Use of Redevelopment Project Area*: Combination/Mixed *Types include: Central Business District, Retail, Other Commercial, Industrial, Residential, and Combination/Mixed.				
If "Combination/Mixed" List Component Types:		idential		
Under which section of the Illinois Municipal Code was Redevelopment Project Area designated? (check one):				
Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act	<u>&gt;</u>	<u> </u>		
Industrial Jobs Recovery Law Please utilize the information below to properly label the Attachments.				
riease duitze die mormation below to property laber die Attachments.	······			
	<u>No</u>	Yes		
For redevelopment projects beginning prior to FY 2022, were there any amendments, to the redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (1)]				
if yes, please enclose the amendment (labeled Attachment A).				
For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY 2022, were there any amendments, enactments or extensions to the				
redevelopment plan, the redevelopment project area, or the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (1) and 5/11-74.6-	X			
22 (d) (1)]				
If yes, please enclose the amendment, enactment or extension, and a copy of the redevelopment plan (labeled Attachment				
A). Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the municipality that the municipality has complied with all of the requirements of the				
Act during the preceding fiscal year. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (3) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (3)]		x		
Please enclose the CEO Certification (labeled Attachment B).				
[Opinion of legal counsel that municipality is in compliance with the Act. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)]				
Please enclose the Legal Counsel Opinion (labeled Attachment C).		X		
Statement setting forth all activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan, including any project implemented and a description of the redevelopment activities. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (A and B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (A				
		x		
If ves, please enclose the Activities Statement (labled Attachment D).				
Were any agreements entered into by the municipality with regard to the disposition or redevelopment of any property within the				
redevelopment project area or the area within the State Sales Tax Boundary? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (C) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d)	x			
(7) (C)]	<sup>^</sup>			
If ves, please enclose the Agreement(s) (labeled Attachment E). Is there additional information on the use of all funds received under this Division and steps taken by the municipality to achieve the				
objectives of the redevelopment plan? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (D) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7) (D)]	x			
If yes, please enclose the Additional Information (labeled Attachment F).	<sup>^</sup>			
Did the municipality's TIF advisors or consultants enter into contracts with entities or persons that have received or are receiving		i		
payments financed by tax increment revenues produced by the same TIF? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (E) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (7)	x			
(E)]				
If yes, please enclose the contract(s) or description of the contract(s) (labeled Attachment G). Were there any reports <u>submitted to</u> the municipality by the joint review board? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (7) (F) and 5/11-74.6-22				
$[\mathbf{d} \ (7) \ (F)]$		x		
If ves, please enclose the Joint Review Board Report (labeled Attachment H).				
Were any obligations issued by the municipality? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (8) (A) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (A)]				
If yes, please enclose any Official Statement (labeled Attachment I). If Attachment I is answered yes, then the Analysis	X			
must be attached (labeled Attachment J). An analysis prepared by a financial advisor or underwriter, chosen by the municipality, setting forth the nature and term of				
obligation; projected debt service including required reserves and debt coverage; and actual debt service. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d)				
(8) (B) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (8) (B)]	<b>v</b>			
If attachment I is yes, the Analysis and an accompanying letter from the municipality outlining the contractual relationship	x			
between the municipality and the financial advisor/underwriter MUST be attached (labeled Attachment J).				
Has a cumulative of \$100,000 of TIF revenue been deposited into the special tax allocation fund? 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (2) and				
5/11-74.6-22 (d) (2)		x		
If yes, please enclose Audited financial statements of the special tax allocation fund (labeled Attachment K).				
Cumulatively, have deposits of incremental taxes revenue equal to or greater than \$100,000 been made into the special tax				
allocation fund? [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (9) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (9)]		~		
If yes, the audit report shall contain a letter from the independent certified public accountant indicating compliance or		x		
noncompliance with the requirements of subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 (labeled Attachment L).				
A list of all intergovernmental agreements in effect to which the municipality is a part, and an accounting of any money transferred				
or received by the municipality during that fiscal year pursuant to those intergovernmental agreements. [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d)	x			
(10)]	^			
If yes, please enclose the list only, not actual agreements (labeled Attachment M).				
For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY 2022, did the developer identify to the municipality a stated rate of return for each redevelopment project area? Stated rates of return required to be reported shall be independently verified by a third party				
chosen by the municipality.	x			
If yes, please enclose evidence of third party verification, may be in the form of a letter from the third party (labeled				
Attachment N).				

SECTION 3.1 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d)(5)(a)(b)(d)) and (65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)(a)(b)(d)]

FY 2022 Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

### Enos Park Neighborhood

### Provide an analysis of the special tax allocation fund.

Special Tax Allocation Fund Balance at Beginning of Reporting Period \$

Cumulative **Revenue/Cash** Totals of **Receipts for** Revenue/Cash SOURCE of Revenue/Cash Receipts: Current **Receipts for life Reporting Year** of TIF % of Total \$ 500,436 \$ 8,513,371 91% **Property Tax Increment** 0% State Sales Tax Increment 0% Local Sales Tax Increment 0% State Utility Tax Increment 0% Local Utility Tax Increment 748 \$ 274.042 3% \$ Interest 0% Land/Building Sale Proceeds 0% Bond Proceeds 0% Transfers from Municipal Sources 0% Private Sources \$ 6,403 \$ 615,984 7% Other ()

All Amount Deposited in Special Tax Allocation Fund

\$

**Cumulative Total Revenues/Cash Receipts** 

\$ 9,403,397

100%

Total Expenditures/Cash Disbursements (Carried forward from Section 3.2) Transfers to Municipal Sources Distribution of Surplus	\$ 522,445 -
Total Expenditures/Disbursements	\$ 522,445
Net/Income/Cash Receipts Over/(Under) Cash Disbursements	\$ (14,858)

Previous Year Adjustment (Explain Below)

FUND BALANCE, END OF REPORTING PERIOD\*

\* If there is a positive fund balance at the end of the reporting period, you must complete Section 3.3

**Previous Year Explanation:** 

507,587

(236,001)

\$ -

(250,859)

\$

## SECTION 3.2 A [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5) (c) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5)(c)]

### FY 2022 Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

### Enos Park Neighborhood

### ITEMIZED LIST OF ALL EXPENDITURES FROM THE SPECIAL TAX ALLOCATION FUND

PAGE 1

PAGE 1		······
Category of Permissible Redevelopment Cost [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-3 (q) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6 10 (o)]	- Amounts	Reporting Fiscal Year
1. Cost of studies, surveys, development of plans, and specifications. Implementation and		
administration of the redevelopment plan, staff and professional service cost.		
		\$ -
2. Annual administrative cost.		
Personal Services	22,400	
Contractual Services	500,045	
Ochradidal Octvices		
		¢ 500.445
		\$ 522,445
3. Cost of marketing sites.		
		\$
<ol><li>Property assembly cost and site preparation costs.</li></ol>		
		\$ -
5. Costs of renovation, rehabilitation, reconstruction, relocation, repair or remodeling of existing		
public or private building, leasehold improvements, and fixtures within a redevelopment project are	a.	
5,		
		c
Costs of the constructuion of public works or improvements		\$
6. Costs of the constructuion of public works or improvements.		
		\$ -

### SECTION 3.2 A PAGE 2

7. Costs of eliminating or removing contaminants and other impediments.	
	 \$-
8. Cost of job training and retraining projects.	- •
	A service of the serv
	 \$-
9. Financing costs.	Ψ
9. Financing costs.	
	 ¢
	\$-
10. Capital costs.	
	\$-
11. Cost of reimbursing school districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing	
projects.	
	 *
12. Cost of reimbursing library districts for their increased costs caused by TIF assisted housing	\$
projects.	
	\$

### SECTION 3.2 A PAGE 3

TOTAL ITEMIZED EXPENDITURES	 \$ 522,445
	\$
8. Other.	
	\$
17. Cost of day care services.	
	\$
redevelopment project.	
16. Interest cost incurred by redeveloper or other nongovernmental persons in connection with a	
	\$
15. Costs of job training, retraining, advanced vocational or career education.	
	\$
•	
14. Payments in lieu of taxes.	
	\$
	-

Section 3.2 B [Information in the following section is not required by law, but may be helpful in creating fiscal transparency.]

# FY 2022 Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

# Enos Park Neighborhood

# List all vendors, including other municipal funds, that were paid in excess of \$10,000 during the current reporting year.

Name	Service		Amount
Young Men's Christian Association	New Building	\$	500,000.00
Cynthia L Mehl	Match/Copay Return	\$	14,250.00
		· ·	

## SECTION 3.3 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (5d) 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (5d]

### FY 2022 Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

### Enos Park Neighborhood

Breakdown of the Balance in the Special Tax Allocation Fund At the End of the Reporting Period by source

### FUND BALANCE BY SOURCE

(250,859)

\$

1. Description of Debt Obligations	Amount of Original Issuance	Amount Designated			
Total Amount Designated for Obligations	\$ -	- \$			

2. Description of Project Costs to be Paid	Amount of Original Issuance	Amount	Designated
Enos Park First Responder Home Owner Rehabilitation		\$	70,000
Enos Park TIF Home Owner Rehabilitation		\$	121,585
······			
		_	
Total Amount Designated for Project Costs		\$	191,585

TOTAL AMOUNT DESIGNATED

SURPLUS/(DEFICIT)

\$ 191,585

\$ (442,444)

# SECTION 4 [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (6) and 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (6)]

### FY 2022 Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

### Enos Park Neighborhood

# Provide a description of all property purchased by the municipality during the reporting fiscal year within the redevelopment project area.

~	Indicate an 'X' if no property was acquired by the municipality within the
^	redevelopment project area.

Property (1):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (2):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (3):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Property (4):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	·
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (5):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (6):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	
Property (7):	
Street address:	
Approximate size or description of property:	
Purchase price:	
Seller of property:	

### SECTION 5 [20 ILCS 620/4.7 (7)(F)]

### FY 2022 Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

### Enos Park Neighborhood

PAGE 1

#### Page 1 MUST be included with TIF report. Pages 2 and 3 are to be included ONLY if projects are listed. Select <u>ONE</u> of the following by indicating an 'X':

1. NO projects were undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area.	i '
<b>I. NO</b> projects were undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area.	1

2. The Municipality <u>DID</u> undertake projects within the Redevelopment Project Area. (If selecting this option, complete 2a.)	x
2a. The total number of <u>ALL</u> activities undertaken in furtherance of the objectives of the redevelopment plan:	4

LIST <u>ALL</u> projects undertaken by the Municipality Within the Redevelopment Project Area:						
TOTAL:		11/1/99 to Date		ated Investment for equent Fiscal Year		otal Estimated to omplete Project
Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	\$	40,137,102	\$	64,933	\$	40,202,035
Public Investment Undertaken	\$	8,877,502	\$	198,348	\$	9,075,850
Ratio of Private/Public Investment		4 49/94				4 3/7

#### **Project 1\*: Projects Closed Out In Previous Years**

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	\$ 39,907,119	\$	39,907,119
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 6,384,170	\$	6,384,170
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	6 1/4		6 1/4

### Project 2\*: Public Works - Sidewalks & Street Improvements & Demolitions

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 2,278,265	\$ 63,415	\$ 2,341,680
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0

#### Project 3\*: Enos Park First Responder Home Purchase New Construction Program

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)			
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 30,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 100,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0		0

### Project 4\*: Enos Park Home Owner Rehabilitation Program

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)	\$ 229,983	\$ 64,933	\$ 294,916
Public Investment Undertaken	\$ 185,067	\$ 64,933	\$ 250,000
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	1 8/33		1 7/39

Project 5\*:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		
Public Investment Undertaken		
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	0

Project 6\*:

Private Investment Undertaken (See Instructions)		
Public Investment Undertaken		
Ratio of Private/Public Investment	0	0

SECTION 6 [Information requested in SECTION 6.1 is not required by law, but may be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.

SECTIONS 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 are required by law, if applicable. (65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5(d))]

FY 2022

Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

### Enos Park Neighborhood

SECTION 6.1-For redevelopment projects beginning before FY 2022, complete the following information about job creation and retention.

Number of Jobs Retained	Number of Jobs Created	Job Description and Type (Temporary or Permanent)	Total Salaries Paid	
N/A	N/A	N/A	\$	-
***************************************			\$	~
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	
			\$	-
			\$	-

SECTION 6.2-For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY 2022, complete the following information about projected job creation and actual job creation.

The number of jobs, if any, projected to be created at the time of approval of the redevelopment agreement	The number of jobs, if any, created as a result of the development to date, for the reporting period, under the same guidelines and assumptions as was used for the projections used at the time of approval of the redevelopment agreement
N/A	N/A

SECTION 6.3-For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY 2022, complete the following information about increment projected to be created and actual increment created.

The amount of increment projected to be created at the time of approval of the redevelopment agreement	The amount of increment created as a result of the development to date, for the reporting period, using the same assumptions as was used for the projections used at the time of the approval of the redevelopment agreement
N/A	N/A

SECTION 6.4-For redevelopment projects beginning in or after FY 2022, provide the stated rate of return identified by the developer to the municipality and verified by an independent third party, if any:

N/A

# **SECTION 7** [Information in the following section is not required by law, but may be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.]

# FY 2022 Name of Redevelopment Project Area: <u>Enos Park Neighborhood</u>

# Provide a general description of the redevelopment project area using only major boundaries.

See attached legal description and map.

Optional Documents	Enclosed
Legal description of redevelopment project area	Yes
Map of District	Yes

# **SECTION 8** [Information in the following section is not required by law, but may be helpful in evaluating the performance of TIF in Illinois.]

# FY 2022 Name of Redevelopment Project Area:

Enos Park Neighborhood

# Provide the base EAV (at the time of designation) and the EAV for the year reported for the redevelopment project area.

	Year of Designation	Base EAV	Reporting Fiscal Year EAV		
ł					
	1997	\$ 14,398,831	\$	16,490,366	

List all overlapping tax districts in the redevelopment project area. If overlapping taxing district received a surplus, list the surplus.

X Indicate an 'X' if the overlapping taxing districts did not receive a surplus.

Overlapping Taxing District	Surplus Distributed from redevelopment project area to overlapping districts			

#### **EXHIBIT A**

The Redevelopment Project Area consists of the territory legally described as follows:

Commencing at a point being the NW Corner of Section 27, T16N, R5W, 3rd P.M., thence East along the North line of the aforesaid Section 27 a distance of 212.00 feet; thence 90° North a distance of 40 feet to the SE corner of Lot 1, Block 2, Waddell's Addition, said point being the point of beginning.

Beginning at the SE Cor. of Lot 1 in the aforesaid Block and subdivision, thence North along the East edge of Lot 1, said East edge of Lot 1 also being the West ROW line of Second Street, a distance of 174 feet to the SE Cor. of Lot 4, Block 2, Waddell's Addition, thence Easterly across 2nd Street, a distance of 60 feet to the SW Cor. of Lot 9, Block 1, Waddell's Addition; thence Easterly along the South line of aforesaid Lot 9 a distance of 158.19 feet to the SE Cor. of aforesaid Lot 9 and continuing Easterly along an extension of said South line of Lot 9 across a public alley running along the East side of aforesaid Lot 9, a distance of 16.6 feet. to a point on the West side of Lot 2, Block 1, Waddell's Addition; thence Southerly along the West line of the aforesaid Lot 2 a distance of 21.3 feet to the SW Cor. of Lot 2; thence Easterly along the South line of aforesaid Lot 2 a distance of 173 feet to the SE Cor of the aforesaid Lot 2. Thence, continuing Easterly along an extension of this line across 3rd Street a distance of 60 feet to the SW Cor, of the south 20 feet of Lot 7, Bradish's Subdivision. Thence, continuing Easterly along the South line of the aforesaid Lot 7 a distance of 135 feet to the SE Cor. of Lot 7, thence Northerly along the East line of the aforesaid Lot 7 a distance of 16 feet; thence Easterly across a public alley a distance of 13 feet to the SW Cor. of Lot 12 Bradish's Subdivision. Thence, Easterly along the South line of aforesaid Lot 12 a distance of 125 feet to the SE Cor. of Lot 12; thence continuing Easterly along an extension of the South line of the aforesaid Lot 12 across 4th Street, a distance of 60 feet to NW Cor. of Tract 15, Lot 1 of the subdivision of W½, SW½; thence continuing Easterly along North line of aforesaid Tract 15 a distance of 79.6 feet, thence Northerly 8.3 feet; thence Easterly to the NE Cor. of the aforesaid Tract 15; and continuing Easterly across Tract 9, a total distance of 93.7 feet; thence Southerly 7.0 feet to the SE Cor. of Tract 9, Lot 1 of the subdivision of  $N_{2}$ , SW4; thence Easterly along the North line of the N. 40 ft. of the W. 41.66 feet of Tract 2 of Lot 1 of the subdivision of W<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> a distance of 149.4 feet, to a point on the West ROW line of 5th Street and continuing along an extension of the aforesaid North line across 5th Street, a distance of 58 feet to the SW Cor. of W. 150 ft., N. 40 ft., Lot 4, Block 3, Robert Allen's Subdivision, thence Easterly along South line of aforesaid lot to its SE Cor. and continuing Easterly along the South line of the N. 76 ft., E. 154 ft. Lot 1, Block 3, Robert Allen's Subdivision a total distance of 411.5 feet to a point on the West ROW of 6th Street . Continuing Easterly along an extension of the said South line of the aforesaid portion of Lot 1 across 6th Street, a distance of 60 feet to a point on the West line of N. 50 ft., Lot 3, Block 4, Robert Allen's Subdivision of E<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, SW<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>. Thence Southerly along the West line of the aforesaid lot a distance of 23.5 feet to the SW Cor. of Lot 3; thence Easterly along the South line of said Lot a distance of 120.4 feet to a point on the West ROW line of the SPCSL RR. Thence Northeasterly along the aforesaid ROW line a distance of 1,029.8 feet to a point on the North ROW line of Eastman Avenue; thence Easterly along said North ROW line a distance of 537.9 feet to a point on the East ROW line of Ninth Street, said point also being on the North ROW line of Converse Street. Thence Southerly along the East ROW line of 9th Street a distance of 636.8 ft. to the NW Cor. of Part of SW Cor. Lot 20, Part NE ¼ and SE ¼ of §. 22, and Part NW 1/4, §. 23, T16N, R5W; thence Easterly a distance of 68.7 feet, thence Southerly a distance of 59.2 feet; thence Easterly 149.19 feet, thence Southerly 189.0 feet to a point on the North ROW line of North Grand Avenue; thence Easterly along said ROW line a distance of 169.7 feet to a point, said point being the intersection of the East ROW line of 10th Street and the North

ROW line of North Grand Avenue; thence Southerly along the East ROW line of 10th Street to a

D

point, said point being the intersection of the East ROW line of 10th Street and the North ROW of Carpenter Street, a distance of 3,290.8 ft. Thence Westerly along the said North ROW of Carpenter Street to a point, said point being the intersection of the West ROW line of 2nd Street and the North ROW line of Carpenter Street, a distance of 3,183.1 feet. Said point on the West ROW line of 2nd Street may be further referenced as being the SE Cor. Lot 16, Darling and Taylor's Subdivision. Thence Northerly along the West ROW of 2nd Street a distance of 3,156.4 feet to SE Cor. of Lot 1, in Block 2 of Waddell's Addition, the point of beginning, containing 267.008 acres, more or less, <u>excepting, the existing Tax Increment Financing Redevelopment Area commonly known as Near North Crossings</u> located between 5th Street and the West ROW line of the SPCSL RR immediately south of North Grand Avenue and legally described as:

Beginning at the Northwest Cor. of Lot 3, Block 3, of Robert Allen's Addition , thence Easterly along the North side of the aforesaid lot a distance of 407.96 feet; thence deflecting right  $92^{\circ}-00'-18"$  a distance of 45.75 feet to a point on the West ROW of the SPCSL RR; thence deflecting right  $33^{\circ}-56'-30"$  on said ROW line 499.69 feet to a point on a curved ROW line, thence continuing Southerly on said curved ROW line for a chord distance of 97.00 feet, said chord deflecting left 1°-17'-48" from the aforedescribed course; thence deflecting to the right from aforedescribed chord  $55^{\circ}-26'-53"$ , 69.94 feet to a point on the West line of Lot 7, Block 3 of aforesaid Robert Allen's Addition; thence deflecting to the right 90°-07"-31", a distance of 529.95 feet to the point of beginning, containing 3.008 acres, more or less.

The approximate street location of the Redevelopment Project Area is as follows:

### The area generally bounded on the west by 2nd Street, on the north by North Grand Avenue, on the east by 10th Street, and on the south by Carpenter Street.

The Enos Park Redevelopment Project Area contains a <u>net total</u> of <u>264.00 acres. more or less</u> after subtracting the previously designated Near North Crossings Tax Increment Redevelopment Area lying within the boundaries of the Enos Park Redevelopment Area.




Exhibit B Boundary Map Enos Park Neighborhood City of Springfield, Illinois

MAY 27, 1997

too soo NORTH



Office of Planning & Economic Development City of Springfield, Illinois

> James O. Langfelder Mayor

November 1, 2022

Ms. Susana A. Mendoza Comptroller, State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 100 W. Randolph, Suite 15-500 Chicago, IL 60601

Dear Ms. Mendoza,

In my capacity as Mayor of the City of Springfield, a Illinois municipal corporation, I, James O. Langfelder certify that in the preceding fiscal year the City of Springfield, Illinois has complied with all requirements of the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.1 *et* seq., as amended), as it applies to the following Tax Increment Financing Districts of Springfield, Illinois: Central Area (Downtown), Far East, Enos Park, SHA (Madison Park Place), Northeast, Jefferson Crossing, MacArthur Boulevard Corridor, Dirksen Parkway Commercial, Peoria Road, and Lumber Lane in the preceding fiscal year.

Sincerely,

James O. Langfeldor, Mayor City of Springfield <u>Sr. Assistant Corporation Counsel</u> Linda A. O'Brien Steven C. Rahn Kateah McMasters



Rm. 313 Municipal Center East 800 East Monroe Street Springfield, IL 62701-1689

Assistant Corporation Counsel Brandon Woudenberg Nicholas Correll

### OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS

Phone: (217) 789-2393 Fax: (217) 789-2397

JAMES K. ZERKLE Corporation Counsel

November 1, 2022

Ms. Susana A. Mendoza Comptroller, State of Illinois Office of the Comptroller 100 W. Randolph, Suite 15-500 Chicago, IL 60601

Dear Ms. Mendoza,

In my capacity as legal counsel for the City of Springfield, I have reviewed the procedures of the City in relation to the requirements of the Public Act [65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-5 (d) (4) and 5/11-74.6-22 (d) (4)]. In my opinion, the City of Springfield is in compliance with the Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment act, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.4-1 *et* seq., and the Industrials Job Recovery Law, 65 ILCS 5/11-74.6-1 *et* seq., for each redevelopment project area in the City, namely, the Central Area (Downtown), Far East, Enos Park, SHA (Madison Park Place), Northeast, Jefferson Crossing, MacArthur Boulevard Corridor, Dirksen Parkway Commercial, Peoria Road, and Lumber Lane Tax Increment Finance Districts.

Sincerely,

Л James K. Zerkle

James K. Zerkle Corporation Counsel

## ATTACHMENT D

### **CENTRAL AREA (DOWNTOWN)**

<u>Statement setting forth activities:</u> The City of Springfield provided **\$2,525.00** to the Illinois Tax Increment Association for membership fees and registration. The city provided **\$1,400,000.00** to the Sangamon County Treasurer in property tax rebate. The city provided **\$500,000.00** to the Young Men's Christian Association of Springfield for a project. The city provided **\$25,000.00** to Innovate Springfield Inc for business training services.

### FAR EAST

<u>Statement setting forth activities:</u> The City of Springfield provided \$4,360.50 to City Water, Light & Power for postage. The city provided \$90.00 to the Sangamon County Recorder for recording fees. The city provided \$10,830.00 to homeowners for rehabilitation. The city provided \$82,915.00 to Sangamon County as Trustee for purchase of surplus properties by ordinance.

### ENOS PARK

<u>Statement setting forth activities:</u> The City of Springfield provided **\$25,859.48** to the Department of Public Works for demolition of unsafe and dangerous vacant structures. The City provided **\$500,000.00** to the Young Men's Christian Association for eligible project expenses. The City returned **\$14,250.00** to Cynthia Mehl for a homeowner exterior rehabilitation project that did not take place.

### SHA (MADISON PARK PLACE)

<u>Statement setting forth activities:</u> The City of Springfield provided **\$165.12** to the Frye-Williamson Press for envelopes. The City provided **\$697.88** to the State Journal-Register for advertising. The City provided **\$56,927.65** to the PGAV Planners for consulting, studies, and redevelopment plans.

### **NORTHEAST**

<u>Statement setting forth activities:</u> The City of Springfield provided **\$257,950.42** to Sangamon County for TIF surplus payment.

### JEFFERSON CROSSING

<u>Statement setting forth activities:</u> The City of Springfield provided **\$28,109.91** to the Sangamon County Treasurer as a property tax increment refund for closure of the TIF.

## MACARTHUR BOULEVARD CORRIDOR

Statement setting forth activities: There was no activity in this tax increment district.

## DIRKSEN PARKWAY COMMERCIAL

Statement setting forth activities: There was no activity in this tax increment district.

# PEORIA ROAD

Statement setting forth activities: There was no activity in this tax increment district.

## LUMBER LANE

Statement setting forth activities: There was no activity in this tax increment district.



# Office of Planning & Economic Development City of Springfield, Illinois

# James O. Langfelder Mayor

Annual Joint Review Board Meeting November 22, 2021 3:00 p.m.

This year, the Annual Joint Review Board Meeting will be held in-person, in the **Council Chambers** located on the 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor of the Municipal Center West Building.

Email Ravi D. Doshi at <u>ravi.doshi@springfield.il.us</u> with questions or call 217.789.2377 ext. 5477.

# <u>Agenda</u>

- I. Introductions
- II. Explanation of JRB
- III. Review of Springfield's TIF Districts:
  - a. Central Area
  - b. Far East Side
  - c. Enos Park Neighborhood
  - d. S.H.A. (Madison Park Place)
  - e. Northeast
  - f. Jefferson Crossing
  - g. MacArthur Boulevard
  - h. Dirksen Parkway Commercial
  - i. Peoria Road
  - j. Lumber Lane
- IV. Public Comments
- V. Adjourn

# Horath, Aaron W.

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments: Wooden, Lynne Monday, November 14, 2022 6:30 PM Zerkle, James Horath, Aaron W.; Langfelder, Jim; Frevert, Julia Re: JRB Agenda November 22 2021.doc JRB Agenda November 18 2021.doc

Thanks, Lynne

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 14, 2022, at 5:16 PM, Zerkle, James <James.Zerkle@springfield.il.us> wrote:

Please see attached the agenda for the JRB meeting held last November 22, 2021 in the City Council chambers --- there would be an audio or video recording of the meeting --- thank you --- Jim Z

# **CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS**

.

.

# ENOS Park TIF Project - Special Revenue Fund Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Budget and Actual For the Fiscal Year Ended February 28, 2022

	 Original Budget		Actual	Variance with Final Budget
Revenues				
Taxes				
Property Taxes	\$ 484,200	484,200	500,436	16,236
Investment Income	2,400	2,400	748	(1,652)
Miscellaneous	 10,000	10,000	6,403	(3,597)
Total Revenues	 496,600	496,600	507,587	10,987
Expenditures				
Economic Development				
Personal Services	19,247	22,447	22,400	47
Contractual Services	 671,000	667,800	500,045	167,755
Total Expenditures	 690,247	690,247	522,445	167,802
Net Change in Fund Balance	 (193,647)	(193,647)	(14,858)	178,789
Fund Balance - Beginning			(236,001)	
Fund Balance - Ending			(250,859)	

•

666 N. RIVER ROAD · NAPERVILLE, ILLINOIS 60563

ЦĄ

Lauterbach & Amen, LLP

PHONE 630.393.1483 • FAX 630.393.2516 www.lauterbachamen.com

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE

October 25, 2022

The Honorable City Mayor Members of the City Council City of Springfield, Illinois

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Springfield, Illinois, as of and for the year ended February 28, 2022, and have issued our report thereon dated October 25, 2022. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America.

Compliance with laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to tax increment financing districts is the responsibility of the City of Springfield, Illinois' management. In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the City failed to comply with provisions of Subsection (q) of Section 11-74.4-3 of Public Act 85-1142, "An Act in Relation to Tax Increment Financing," insofar as it relates to accounting matters for the Central Area (Downtown) Tax Increment Financing District, the Dirksen Parkway Commercial Tax Increment Financing District, the ENOS Park Neighborhood Tax Increment Financing District, the Far East Side Tax Increment Financing District, the MacArthur Boulevard Corridor Tax Increment Financing District, the Northeast Tax Increment Financing District, the SHA (Madison Park Place) Tax Increment Financing District, and the Peoria Road Redevelopment Area Tax Increment Financing District, however, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the City noncompliance with the above-referenced statute, insofar as it relates to accounting matters.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City Council, management, the State of Illinois, and others within the City and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Cordially,

Lauterbach & Amen, LLP

LAUTERBACH & AMEN, LLP